

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vuginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/995,665	11/29/2001	Su-Woong Lee	8733.538.00	2645		
7:	590~ ,07/11/2003	17.7 K. W.				
LONG ALDRIDGE & NORMAN LLP			EXAMINER			
Suite 600. 701 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W.			NGUYEN, JOSEPH H			
Washington, Do			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
•			2815			
/	,	·	DATE MAILED: 07/1 1/2003			
/		•		•		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED AUG 21 2003 AUG 27 CENTER 280

					M			
	,	Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/995,665	i	LEE, SU-WOONG	i			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Joseph Ng		2815				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the o	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no even eply within the statut od will apply and will ute. cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be tin ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is r	on-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	on of Claims							
,	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from con	sideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
<i>,</i> —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and ion Papers	d/or election re	quirement.					
	The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner						
• —	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ented or b) objected	to by the Examine	er.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 November 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:				•			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome				al application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachme	nt(s)		_					
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	s) <u>2</u> .	4) Interview Summa 5) Notice of Informat 6) Other:	ry (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (P	э(s) ГО-152)			
U.S. Patent and	Trademark Office		·					

Application/Control Number: 09/995,665

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over figure 3 of the acknowledged prior art (APA) in view of JP 5-249422.

Regarding claim 1, (APA) discloses on figure 3 a seal pattern of a liquid crystal display device comprising a substrate 10 having a plurality of unit cell regions; a plurality of main seal patterns 21 on the substrate, each main seal pattern being formed at a boundary of a corresponding unit cell region; and a first sub seal pattern 31 surrounding all of the main seal patterns and having a plurality of open portions. (APA) does not disclose each main seal pattern being formed at a boundary of a corresponding unit cell region except for one unit cell region. However, JP5-249422 discloses on figure 2 each main seal pattern 13 being formed at a boundary of a corresponding unit cell region except for one unit cell region 13a. In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify (APA) by having each main seal pattern being formed at a boundary of a corresponding unit cell region except for one unit cell region for the purpose of reducing the thickness of the substrate at a good yield a taught by JP5-249422.

Application/Control Number: 09/995,665

Art Unit: 2815

Regarding claims 2-16, (APA) and JP5-249422 together disclose all the structures and steps of the method set forth in the claimed invention.

Regarding claim 17, (APA) discloses on figure 3 a seal pattern of a liquid crystal display device comprising a glass substrate 10 having a plurality of unit cell regions; a plurality of main seal patterns 21 on the substrate, each main seal pattern being formed at a boundary of a corresponding one of the unit cell regions; plurality of injection holes 22 each formed at a lower center portion of a corresponding main seal pattern; a first subs seal pattern 31 surrounding all of the main seal patterns and having a plurality of air vent portions; a plurality of additional seal patterns 33 for air ventilation each formed at a corresponding air vent portion; and a second sub seal pattern 33 having a plurality of open portions. (APA) does not disclose each main seal pattern being formed at a boundary of a corresponding unit cell region except for at least one middle unit cell region. However, JP5-249422 discloses on figure 2 each main seal pattern 13 being formed at a boundary of a corresponding unit cell region except for at least one middle unit cell region 13a. In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify (APA) by having each main seal pattern being formed at a boundary of a corresponding unit cell region except for at least one middle unit cell region for the purpose of reducing the thickness of the substrate at a good yield a taught by JP5-249422.

Note that applicant admitted on page 6 of the instant application that the sub-seal patterns 31, 32,33 also function as air vent. Therefore, these patterns are also considered air vents.

Application/Control Number: 09/995,665

Art Unit: 2815

Regarding claims 17-18, 21-22, (APA) and JP5-249422 together disclose all the structures and steps of the method set forth in the claimed invention.

Regarding claim 19, (APA) and JP5-249422 together disclose substantially all the structures set forth in the claimed invention except the width of the plurality of seal patterns for air ventilation being about 1.5 to 2 mm. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify (APA) and JP5-249422 by having the width of the plurality of seal patterns for air ventilation being about 1.5 to 2 mm for the purpose of improving the performance of a liquid crystal display device, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art discovering the optimum or working ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Regarding claim 20, (APA) and JP5-249422 together disclose substantially all the structures set forth in the claimed invention except the length of the plurality of seal patterns for air ventilation being about 70 to 100 mm. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify (APA) and JP5-249422 by having the length of the plurality of seal patterns for air ventilation being about 70 to 100 mm for the purpose of improving the performance of a liquid crystal display device, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art discovering the optimum or working ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Art Unit: 2815

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

US Patent 6392354 B1 to Matsueda discloses a driving circuit of an electro

optical device.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Joseph Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1269. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30 am- 4:30 pm

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on (703) 308-1690. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7382 for

regular communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0956.

JN

June 23, 2003

JEROME JACKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5

